

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/480,061	01/10/2000	ART MALIN	769-197CIP-D	3852
7	590 06/21/2004		EXAM	INER
GERALD LEVY			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SXUCH LLP				DADED MUMPED
685 THIRD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			3727	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/480,061	MALIN ET AL.			
Advisory Advisor	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph C. Merek	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee elee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14 and 16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
D. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:		Taylor Ill			
		Patent Esamme			

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/480,061

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of the term substantially does not overcome the lack of support for the term perpendiclar. Applicant directs the examiner to page 12 lines 22-29 of the specification. The examiner does not see any support for the claim that the fin or lap seal extends substantially perpendicular to the zipper. The final rejection is maintained. The secondary reference Uramoto teaches side seams 12a which satisfy the limitation fin or lap seal and these seals or seams extend perpendicular to the zipper since they extend down the long sides of the bag. The zipper extends transverse to this direction across the bag width. The proposed drawing correction is not approved since it does nothing to correct the lack of showing that the fin or lap seal is perpendicular to the zipper.